

Regret Minimization under Partial Monitoring

Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi

Università degli Studi di Milano

joint work with
Gábor Lugosi and Gilles Stoltz



Playing a repeated zero-sum game

Known **loss matrix** with entries in $[0, 1]$

| | 1 | ... | M |
|----------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1 | $\ell(1, 1)$ | ... | $\ell(1, M)$ |
| \vdots | \vdots | $\ell(I_t, y_t)$ | \vdots |
| N | $\ell(N, 1)$ | ... | $\ell(N, M)$ |

For $t = 1, 2, \dots$

- Row player (**forecaster**) chooses distribution p_t over $\{1, \dots, N\}$
- Column player (**adversary**) chooses action $y_t \in \{1, \dots, M\}$
- Row player draws $I_t \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ according to p_t



Regret and Hannan consistency

Play at round t may depend on **past plays** (I_s, y_s) , $s < t$

Regret

$$R_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(I_t, y_t) - \min_{k=1, \dots, N} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \ell(k, y_t)$$

Forecaster is **Hannan consistent** if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = 0 \quad \text{with probability 1}$$

irrespective to what adversary does



Game with full information

After drawing I_t the forecaster observes the adversary's play y_t

| | 1 | ... | y_t | ... | M |
|----------|-------------|-----|-----------------|-----|-------------|
| 1 | $\ell(1,1)$ | ... | $\ell(1,y_t)$ | ... | $\ell(1,M)$ |
| \vdots | | | \vdots | | |
| I_t | \vdots | | $\ell(I_t,y_t)$ | | \vdots |
| \vdots | | | \vdots | | |
| N | $\ell(N,1)$ | ... | $\ell(N,y_t)$ | ... | $\ell(N,M)$ |

Regret vanishes at rate $\sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{n}}$



Nonstochastic bandits

After drawing I_t the forecaster observes his own loss $\ell(I_t, y_t)$

| | 1 | ... | y_t | ... | M |
|----------|--------------|-----|------------------|-----|--------------|
| 1 | $\ell(1, 1)$ | | ... | | $\ell(1, M)$ |
| \vdots | | | | | |
| I_t | \vdots | | $\ell(I_t, y_t)$ | | \vdots |
| \vdots | | | | | |
| N | $\ell(N, 1)$ | | ... | | $\ell(N, M)$ |

Regret vanishes at rate $\sqrt{\frac{N \ln N}{n}}$



Partial monitoring

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \ell(1,1) & \cdots & \ell(1,M) \\ \vdots & \ell(I_t, y_t) & \vdots \\ \ell(N,1) & \cdots & \ell(N,M) \end{array}$$

Loss matrix L

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h(1,1) & \cdots & h(1,M) \\ \vdots & h(I_t, y_t) & \vdots \\ h(N,1) & \cdots & h(N,M) \end{array}$$

Feedback matrix H

- After drawing I_t the forecaster observes a **signal** $h(I_t, y_t)$
- For $H \equiv L$ this reduces to nonstochastic bandits



Dynamic pricing

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | 1 |
| 2 | c | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ |
| 3 | c | c | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4 | c | c | c | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 5 | c | c | c | c | 0 |

Loss matrix H

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Feedback matrix H

- **Forecaster's action** is the price at which a product sold online is offered to t -th customer
- **Adversary's action** is maximum price at which t -th customer is willing to buy the product
- **Feedback** is 1 for SOLD and 0 for NOT SOLD



Previous work

- Repeated games: [Hannan, 1956] [Blackwell, 1956]
“Prediction with Expert Advice” (computer science)
- Nonstochastic bandits: [Baños, 1968] [Megiddo, 1980] [Auer, C-B, Freund and Schapire, 2002]
- Partial monitoring: [Mertens, Sorin, and Zamir, 1994]
[Rustichini, 1999] [Piccolboni and Schindelhauer, 2001]

Partial monitoring

- Rustichini establishes existence of Hannan consistent strategies (even for stochastic signals)
- Piccolboni and Schindelhauer give general conditions for convergence of **expected regret**
- This work: explicit algorithms with optimal rates for **actual regret** (Hannan consistency)



Upper bound

[Piccolboni and Schindelhauer, 2001] [C-B, Lugosi, and Stoltz, 2005]

Recall rate for nonstochastic bandits: $\sqrt{(N \ln N)/n}$

Theorem

If a partial monitoring game (L, H) satisfies $L = KH$ for some matrix K , then there exists a forecaster whose regret is at most

$$c \left(\frac{N^2 \ln N}{n} \right)^{1/3} \quad w.h.p.$$

→ Hannan consistency for the **dynamic pricing** problem

Dependence on M ?



- Exponential weighting scheme

$$w_{i,t-1} = \exp \left(-\eta \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \widehat{\ell}(i, y_s) \right)$$

- Pseudo-loss

$$\widehat{\ell}(i, y_t) = \frac{k(i, I_t) h(I_t, y_t)}{p_{I_t, t}}$$

- Since $L = KH$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{\ell}(i, y_t) \mid I_1, \dots, I_{t-1} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{k(i, j) h(j, y_t)}{p_{j, t}} \times p_{j, t} = \ell(i, y_t)$$

- Forecaster's distribution

$$\mathbb{P}(I_t = i) = (1 - \gamma) \frac{w_{i,t-1}}{\sum_{j=1}^N w_{j,t-1}} + \frac{\gamma}{N}$$



The **revealing action** game [Helmbold, Littlestone, and Long, 2000]

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 |

Loss matrix L

| | | |
|---|-----|-----|
| | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | a | a |
| 1 | a | a |
| 2 | b | c |

Feedback matrix H

Theorem

If a forecaster plays the revealing action at most m times, then its

regret is at least $c_1 \frac{m}{n} + c_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$ for some y_1, \dots, y_n

This construction can be generalized to obtain $\left(\frac{\ln N}{n}\right)^{1/3}$



In any partial monitoring problem,

- either the regret is $\Omega(1)$ for all forecasters
- or there exists a forecaster whose regret is $O(n^{-1/3})$

